

DIMENSION AND ENTROPY COMPUTATIONS FOR $L(F_r)$

KENLEY JUNG

ABSTRACT. We show that certain generating sets of Dykema and Radulescu for $L(F_r)$ have free Hausdorff dimension r and nondegenerate free Hausdorff r -entropy.

In [6] Voiculescu showed that certain compressions of free group factors are again free group factors. Radulescu generalized this in [4] where he introduced the interpolated free group factors denoted by $L(F_r)$, $r \geq 1$. These II_1 -factors coincide with the free group factors for integral values of r . Dykema ([1]) independently discovered such factors. In addition to extending the compression formula of [6], [1] and [4] showed that the interpolated free group factors are either all mutually isomorphic or they are all mutually nonisomorphic.

Voiculescu also introduced in [7] the notion of a microstate as part of his working theory of free probability. Microstates allow one to make sense of Lebesgue measure and Minkowski dimension of n -tuples in a tracial von Neumann algebra. Using microstates [3] took a fractal geometric approach by introducing free Hausdorff r -entropy, denoted by $\mathbb{H}^r$. It is a kind of asymptotic Hausdorff measurement on the microstate spaces. For integral values, free Hausdorff entropy is a normalization of free entropy: $\mathbb{H}^n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \chi(z_1, \dots, z_n) + \frac{n}{2} \log(\frac{2n}{\pi e})$. Thus one can view $\mathbb{H}^r$ as a continuous extension of χ . In view of the interpolated free group factors, a natural question is whether $\mathbb{H}^r$ has the same relationship to $L(F_r)$ that χ has to $L(F_n)$. We simply mean the following. It was shown early on ([7]) that there exist n self-adjoint generators $s_1, \dots, s_n$ for $L(F_n)$ for which $-\infty < \chi(s_1, \dots, s_n) < \infty$. We want to know whether there are generators $z_1, \dots, z_n$ for the interpolated free group factor $L(F_r)$ satisfying

$$-\infty < \mathbb{H}^r(z_1, \dots, z_n) < \infty.$$

This remark verifies that certain kinds of generators of Dykema and Radulescu satisfy the above inequality. For a larger class of generators we are able to show that their free Hausdorff dimension (denoted by $\mathbb{H}$) is r . This is weaker for $-\infty < \mathbb{H}^r(z_1, \dots, z_n) < \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = r$ in the same way that $-\infty < \chi(z_1, \dots, z_n) < \infty \Rightarrow \delta_0(z_1, \dots, z_n) = n$.

More specifically we show the dimension equation for finitely many of Radulescu's generators along with their natural projections. We also show this for finitely many generators (with some natural projections) which correspond to Dykema's definition of $L(F_r)$ but we must restrict ourselves to commuting projections due to computational limitations. If we assume that the join of the natural projections in either of the two situations is strictly dominated by the identity operator, then we also get finite free Hausdorff entropy for the generators. The estimates are the usual kind in free dimension approximations. The generators are obtained from a combination of three types of algebraic properties: freeness, commutativity, and finite-dimensionality. Free Hausdorff entropy and dimension, though not as user friendly as χ and δ_0 , can still cope with such relations and provide the right bounds.

Section 1 reviews the definitions of $L(F_r)$ given by Dykema and Radulescu and makes precise the kinds of sets we will estimate. Sections 2 and 3 demonstrate the upper and lower bounds, respectively.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L54; Secondary 28A78.

Research supported by the NSF Graduate Fellowship Program.

1. REVIEW AND NOTATION

In this brief section we recall the results of Radulescu and Dykema concerning the interpolated free group factors and make assumptions to be held for the remainder of this paper.

$M_k(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the set of $k \times k$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$, $M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the set of $k \times k$ self-adjoint matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ and tr_k is the tracial state on $M_k(\mathbb{C})$. U_k is the group of $k \times k$ unitaries. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ $(M_k(\mathbb{C}))^p$ and $(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^p$ are the spaces of p -tuples of elements of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ or $M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. For any subset X of $(M_k(\mathbb{C}))^p$ or $(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^p$ and $u \in U_k$ write uXu^* for the subset obtained by conjugating each entry of an element of X by u . For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ $|\cdot|_2$ is the norm on $(M_k(\mathbb{C}))^p$ given by $|(x_1, \dots, x_p)|_2 = (\sum_{i=1}^p tr_k(x_i^* x_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\|(x_1, \dots, x_p)\|_2 = \sqrt{k} |(x_1, \dots, x_p)|_2$. $|\cdot|_\infty$ will denote the operator norm. We maintain the notation for $\Gamma(\cdot)$, P_ϵ , K_ϵ , δ_0 , $\mathbb{H}^r$, $\mathbb{P}^r$ and all other quantities introduced in [3], [7], and [8] until otherwise stated (see the remark on $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})$ microstates below).

$\{s\} \cup \langle s_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a family of free semicircular elements in a von Neumann algebra M with normal, tracial state φ and identity I . Suppose $\langle e_i, f_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ is a family of nonzero orthogonal projections in $\{s\}''$ such that for any i either $e_i = f_i$ or $e_i f_i = 0$. Set $r = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i \beta_i$ where $\alpha_i = \varphi(e_i)$, $\beta_i = \varphi(f_i)$, $m_i = 2$ if e_i and f_i are orthogonal, and $m_i = 1$ if $e_i = f_i$. If the von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by s and $\langle e_i s_i f_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ is a factor, then Radulescu called this the interpolated free group factor, $L(F_r)$. He showed that this definition made sense, i.e., it depends not upon the choices of the projections but only upon the value r defined in terms of the traces of the projections.

Dykema approached $L(F_r)$ in a slightly different manner. Suppose $\mathcal{R}$ is a copy of the hyperfinite II_1 -factor free with respect to $\langle s_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$. Given any family of projections $\langle e_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{R}$ Dykema defined $L(F_r)$ for $r > 1$ to be the von Neumann algebra generated by $\mathcal{R}$ and $\langle e_i s_i e_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ where $r = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(e_i)^2$. It is a consequence of his work in [1] that this definition also makes sense and that any such sets along with $\mathcal{R}$ generate a factor.

We will show some of these generators along with their associated projections have free Hausdorff dimension r and in the case where the join of the e_i and f_i is strictly dominated by the identity, we will show that these generating sets will have finite free Hausdorff r -entropy. For this we make a few remarks. We only consider free dimensions and entropies for finite sets of elements. For the remainder of this paper we assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\langle e_i, f_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ are projections in $\{s\}''$ such that for any i either $e_i = f_i$ or $e_i f_i = 0$. $r = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i \beta_i$ as discussed above in the paragraph on Radulescu's generators. $\mathcal{R}$ is a copy of the hyperfinite II_1 -factor free with respect to $\langle s_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ and $s \in \mathcal{R}$. Also, if for some i e_i and f_i are orthogonal, then $\{s, e_1 s_1 f_1, \dots, e_n s_n f_n\}$ consists of non self-adjoint elements. Thus, we must appropriately modify our definition of $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{H}^r$. This is easily done. We simply take Definition 3.2 of [3] and replace the $M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})$ microstates of a self-adjoint n -tuple with the $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ microstates for an (not necessarily self-adjoint) n -tuple (here the $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ microstates approximate the n -tuple in $*$ -moments). For the remainder of the paper microstate spaces and quantities will be taken with respect to $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ approximants and if the quantities are taken with respect to self-adjoint microstates, then we distinguish them from the non-self-adjoint quantities with sa (e.g. Γ_R^{sa} , χ^{sa} , $\mathbb{H}^{r,sa}$, $\mathbb{P}^{\alpha,sa}$, etc.).

Given finite ordered sets $X_1 = \{x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n_1}\}, \dots, X_p = \{x_{p1}, \dots, x_{pn_p}\}$ of elements in M we write $\Gamma_R(X_1, \dots, X_p; m, k, \gamma)$ for

$$\Gamma_R(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n_1}, \dots, x_{p1}, \dots, x_{pn_p}; m, k, \gamma)$$

Similarly we will abbreviate all associated entropies and dimensions. Set $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, and $G = \{e_1 s_1 f_1, \dots, e_n s_n f_n\}$. B will denote any l -tuple ($l \in \mathbb{N}$) of strictly contractive

self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{R}$. We do not exclude the situation where $B = \emptyset$. We assume that for some i both e_i and f_i are nonzero.

Finally, our goal is to show that $\mathbb{H}(s, B, E, F, G) = r$. Moreover, we show that if $\bigvee_{i=1}^n (e_i \vee f_i) < I$, then $-\infty < \mathbb{H}^r(s, B, E, F, G) < \infty$. If $B = \emptyset$, then we have generators for $L(F_r)$ of Radulescu's type and if B is chosen so that s and B generate $\mathcal{R}$ and $e_i = f_i$ for each i , then we have generators that fall into Dykema's picture of $L(F_r)$. We point out that Dykema's generators are self-adjoint and can be considered with self-adjoint quantities. The arguments of Section 2 and 3 work equally well in the self-adjoint context to show the corresponding statements for the self-adjoint entropies and dimensions.

2. UPPER BOUND

Lemma 2.1. $\mathbb{H}^r(s, B, E, F, G) \leq \mathbb{P}^r(s, B, E, F, G) < \infty$

Proof. By [3] it suffices to show that $\mathbb{P}^r(s, B, E, F, G) < \infty$. By [5] there exist $C, \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ there exists an ϵ -cover $\langle u_j^{(k)} \rangle_{j \in J_k}$ of U_k taken with respect to $|\cdot|_\infty$ with $\#J_k < \left(\frac{C}{\epsilon}\right)^{k^2}$. Suppose $R > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$. $\{s\} \cup B \cup E \cup F$ generate a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra and thus by Lemma 4.2 of [2] there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that if $(x, T, P, Q), (x', T', P', Q') \in \Gamma_R(s, B, E, F; m, k, \gamma)$, then there exists a $u \in U_k$ such that

$$|u(x, T, P, Q)u^* - (x', T', P', Q')|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{(R+1)^2}.$$

We point out that by choosing m and γ appropriately the inequality holds in our non self-adjoint context above, even though we are using $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ microstates. This is because all the operators in question are self-adjoint and thus the problem reduces to the self-adjoint situation.

For sufficiently large k fix $(x^{(k)}, B^{(k)}, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}) \in \Gamma_1^{sa}(s, B, E, F; m, k, \gamma)$. We can arrange it so that writing $E^{(k)} = \{e_1^{(k)}, \dots, e_n^{(k)}\}$ and $F^{(k)} = \{f_1^{(k)}, \dots, f_n^{(k)}\}$ for each i $e_i^{(k)}$ and $f_i^{(k)}$ are projections and $\text{tr}_k(e_i^{(k)}) \leq \alpha_i$ and $\text{tr}_k(f_i^{(k)}) \leq \beta_i$.

If $e_i f_i = 0$ then $\Omega_i^{(k)}$ denotes the ball of $|\cdot|_2$ radius 2 in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ centered at the origin and if $e_i = f_i$ then $\Omega_i^{(k)}$ denotes the ball of $|\cdot|_2$ radius 2 in $M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})$ centered at the origin. For each i and k $e_i^{(k)} \Omega_i^{(k)} f_i^{(k)}$ is isometric (when endowed with the $|\cdot|_2$ metric) to a ball of radius 2 in Euclidan space of dimension no greater than $m_i \alpha_i \beta_i$. For each i and k we can find an ϵ -cover (with respect to $|\cdot|_2$) for $e_i^{(k)} \Omega_i^{(k)} f_i^{(k)}$ with cardinality no greater than

$$\left(\frac{3}{\epsilon}\right)^{m_i \alpha_i \beta_i k^2}.$$

Hence we can find an $3\epsilon\sqrt{n}$ -net $\langle G_h^{(k)} \rangle_{h \in H_k}$ with respect to $|\cdot|_2$ for $e_1^{(k)} \Omega_1^{(k)} f_1^{(k)} \times \dots \times e_n^{(k)} \Omega_n^{(k)} f_n^{(k)}$ satisfying

$$\#H_k \leq \left(\frac{3}{\epsilon}\right)^{(r-1)k^2}.$$

I claim that

$$\langle u_j^{(k)}(x^{(k)}, B^{(k)}, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, G_h^{(k)})u_j^{(k)*} \rangle_{(h,j) \in H_k \times J_k}$$

is an $10\epsilon\sqrt{6n + 3l + 2}$ -cover for $\Gamma_R(s, B, E, F, G; m, k, \gamma)$ with respect to $|\cdot|_2$.

Towards this end suppose $(x, T, P, Q, Z) \in \Gamma_R(s, B, E, F, G; m, k, \gamma)$ for k sufficiently large so that $(x^{(k)}, B^{(k)}, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)})$ exists as arranged in the preceding paragraph. By the first paragraph there exists a $u \in U_k$ such that

$$|u(x^{(k)}, B^{(k)}, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)})u^* - (x, T, P, Q)|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{(R+1)^2}.$$

Set $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$, $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ and observe that by if m and γ are chosen appropriately, we easily have for each i the inequalities $|z_i|_2 < 2$ and $|z_i - p_i z_i q_i|_2 < \epsilon$ and in the situation where $e_i = f_i$ the additional condition that $|z_i - (z_i + z_i^*)/2|_2 < \epsilon$. If e_i and f_i are orthogonal set $a_i = z_i$ and otherwise set $a_i = (z_i + z_i^*)/2$. We have:

$$|z_i - u e_i^{(k)} u^* a_i u f_i^{(k)} u^*|_2 \leq |p_i - u e_i^{(k)} u^*|_2 \cdot |z_i q_i|_\infty + |u e_i^{(k)} u^* z_i|_\infty \cdot |q_i - u f_i^{(k)} u^*|_2 + 2\epsilon < 4\epsilon.$$

There is an $h \in H_k$ for which $|G_h^{(k)} - (e_1^{(k)} u^* a_1 u f_1^{(k)}, \dots, e_n^{(k)} u^* a_n u f_n^{(k)})|_2 < 3\epsilon\sqrt{n}$. By the above $|Z - u G_h^{(k)} u^*|_2 < 7\epsilon\sqrt{n}$. Consequently $|(x, T, P, Q, Z) - u(x^{(k)}, B^{(k)}, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, G_h^{(k)})u^*|_2 < 8\epsilon\sqrt{n}$. There exists a $j \in J_k$ for which $|u - u_j|_\infty < \epsilon$, so that using the fact that $|yz|_2 \leq |y|_\infty \cdot |z|_2$ we have

$$|(x, T, P, Q, Z) - u_j(x^{(k)}, B^{(k)}, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, G_h^{(k)})u_j^*|_2 < 10\epsilon\sqrt{6n+3l+2}$$

as promised.

We've just produced for any $R > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ corresponding m and γ such that

$$\mathbb{K}_{20\epsilon\sqrt{6n+3l+2}, R}(s, B, E, F, G; m, \gamma) < r \cdot (|\log \epsilon| + (C+3)).$$

Thus, $\mathbb{P}_{40\epsilon\sqrt{6n+3l+2}}(s, B, E, F, G) \leq \mathbb{K}_{20\epsilon\sqrt{6n+3l+2}}(s, B, E, F, G) \leq r \cdot (|\log \epsilon| + (C+3))$ from which it follows that $\mathbb{P}^r(s, B, E, F, G) < \infty$. $\square$

By [3] we have:

Corollary 2.2. $\mathbb{H}(s, B, E, F, G) \leq \delta_0(s, B, E, F, G) \leq r$.

3. LOWER BOUND

In this section we show the other inequality, i.e., that the microstate spaces are rich enough so that their free Hausdorff dimension is r and we show under additional conditions that their free Hausdorff r entropy is finite. The lower bound is more involved.

Throughout $\kappa(k, r)$ denotes the volume of the ball of radius r in $\mathbb{R}^k$, i.e.,

$$\kappa(k, r) = \frac{(r\sqrt{\pi})^k}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1)}.$$

E and F generate a commutative, finite dimensional von Neumann algebra A and thus there exist mutually orthogonal projections $p_1, \dots, p_d \in M$ whose span is exactly A . Choose a single contraction $z = z^*$ which generates A . Observe that $\bigvee_{j=1}^d p_j = \bigvee_{i=1}^n (e_i \vee f_i)$. Recall the constants D and L corresponding to $E \cup F, z, R = 2$, and p as in Section 5 of [3].

In order to show that generators for finite dimensional algebras always have nondegenerate free Hausdorff entropy [3] (Lemma 5.3) resorted to strengthening the work in [2] dealing with representations of finite dimensional algebras. This "strengthening" involves replacing inequalities of the type $\alpha \geq \beta - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ with inequalities of the type $\alpha > \beta$. We have to do something similar here under certain conditions on the e_i and f_i , but the situation is slightly different. We will need to find such bounds not for the dimensions of the finite dimensional algebra, but for the dimension of the G

component (dimensions in the asymptotic matricial microstate sense). Below we also deal with our general situation where the join of the e_i and f_i is not strictly less than the identity.

Lemma 3.1. *If $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k > N$, there is a corresponding $*$ -isomorphism $\pi_k : A \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying:*

- $\|tr \circ \pi_k - \varphi\| < \varepsilon$.
- If H_k is the set of unitaries of $\pi_k(A)'$ then H_k is a tractable subgroup.
- $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i tr_k(\pi_k(e_i)) tr_k(\pi_k(f_i)) > \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \varphi(e_i) \varphi(f_i) - \varepsilon$.

We can arrange it so that if $I \in A$, then π is unital. If we assume that $\bigvee_{j=1}^d p_j < I$, then the third item above can be replaced with the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i tr_k(\pi_k(e_i)) tr_k(\pi_k(f_i)) > \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \varphi(e_i) \varphi(f_i)$.

Proof. Set $r_j = \varphi(p_j)$ and $t = r_1 + \dots + r_d$. Because A is equal to the span of $\{p_1, \dots, p_d\}$ there exists an $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form $f(t_1, \dots, t_d) = \sum_{v=1}^L t_{i_v} t_{j_v}$ where $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_L, j_1, \dots, j_L \leq d$, and such that for any state ψ on A

$$f(\psi(p_1), \dots, \psi(p_d)) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \psi(e_i) \psi(f_i).$$

Suppose $\gamma > 0$. There exist $0 \leq y_1, \dots, y_d$ such that $y_1 + \dots + y_d \leq 1$ and $f(y_1, \dots, y_d) > f(r_1, \dots, r_d) - \varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i tr_k(e_i) tr_k(f_i) - \varepsilon$. For each k choose $m_1^{(k)}, \dots, m_d^{(k)} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $|m_i^{(k)}/k - y_i| \leq dk^{-1}$ and $m_1^{(k)} + \dots + m_d^{(k)} \leq k$ with equality in the second statement if $I \in A$. Find a representation $\pi_k : A \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ such that $tr_k(\pi_k(p_j)) = m_j^{(k)}/k$. It can easily be arranged so that H_k , the group of unitaries of $\pi_k(A)'$, is tractable. It is also clear that if $\gamma > 0$ is chosen appropriately from the get-go, then for sufficiently large k $\|tr_k \circ \pi_k - \varphi\| < \varepsilon$. Hence we have the first two conditions and the one in the situation that $I \in A$. For the last one as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m_i tr_k(\pi_k(e_i)) tr_k(\pi_k(f_i)) = f(m_1^{(k)}, \dots, m_d^{(k)}) \rightarrow f(y_1, \dots, y_d) > \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \varphi(e_i) \varphi(f_i) - \varepsilon.$$

Finally, if we assume that $\bigvee_{j=1}^d p_j < I$, then it follows that $0 < t < 1$. Thus, we can repeat the same argument except choosing the y_i to satisfy $y_1 + \dots + y_d \leq 1$ and $f(y_1, \dots, y_d) > f(r_1, \dots, r_d)$. We again get the first two conditions and the line above, except that we don't have the $-\varepsilon$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. $\mathbb{H}(s, B, E, F, G) = r$ and if $\bigvee_{j=1}^d p_j < I$, then $-\infty < \mathbb{H}^r(s, B, E, F, G) < \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 we have $\mathbb{H}(s, B, E, F, G) \leq r$ and $\mathbb{H}^r(s, B, E, F, G) < \infty$ so it suffices to prove the other inequality for both statements. Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, \gamma > 0$ are given. There exist $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that if $(\eta, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2) \in \Gamma_2(s, B, E, F; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$ and $Y_3 \in \Gamma_2(s_1, \dots, s_n; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$ are (m_1, γ_1) -free, then

$$(\eta, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, Y_1 Y_3 Y_2) \in \Gamma_8(s, B, E, F, G; m, k, \gamma)$$

where $Y_1 Y_3 Y_2$ is the n -tuple obtained from multiplying the entries of the three n -tuples in the natural way. Also by [2] and the existence of finite dimensional approximants for any tuple which generates a hyperfinite algebra, there exist $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that for k sufficiently large if $(\eta, Y_1, Y_2) \in \Gamma_2(s, E, F; m_2, k, \gamma_2)$, then there exists an l -tuple Y_0 such that $(\eta, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2) \in \Gamma_2(s, B, E, F; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$.

Define $\delta = 0$ if $\bigvee_{j=1}^d p_j < I$ and $\delta = t$ otherwise. Replacing Lemma 3.6 of [2] with Lemma 3.1 above in the proof of Lemma 5.2 of [2] (which works in the nonunital case) produces $1 > \lambda, \zeta, c > 0$

independent of the m and γ such that for sufficiently large k there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi_k : A \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ which is unital if $I \in A$ and:

- $\|tr_k \circ \pi_k - \varphi\| < \frac{\gamma_2}{2^{m_2}}$.
- Denote by H_k the set of unitaries of $\pi_k(A)'$. Define $\mathcal{H}_k \subset iM_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})$ to be the Lie subalgebra of H_k and $\mathcal{X}_k$ to be the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}_k$ with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product. For every $s > 0$ write $\mathcal{X}_k^s$ for the ball in $\mathcal{X}_k$ of operator norm less than or equal to s . Below all volume quantities are obtained from Lebesgue measure when the spaces are given the inner product $ReTr$.

$$\frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{X}_k^1)}{C(\dim \mathcal{X}_k, \sqrt{k})} > (\zeta)^{\dim \mathcal{X}_k}.$$

- For any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}_k^c$

$$d_2(q(e^x), q(e^y)) \geq \lambda|x - y|_2.$$

- $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot tr_k(\pi_k(e_i)) \cdot tr_k(\pi_k(f_i)) > \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \varphi(e_i) \varphi(f_i) - \delta$.

For k sufficiently large and $1 \leq j \leq d$ set $p_j^{(k)} = \pi_k(p_j)$, $p_{d+1}^{(k)} = I - (p_1^{(k)} + \dots + p_d^{(k)})$ ($p_{d+1}^{(k)} = 0$ if $I \in A$), and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ set $e_i^{(k)} = \pi_k(e_i)$ and $f_i^{(k)} = \pi_k(f_i)$. Define $E^{(k)} = \{e_1^{(k)}, \dots, e_n^{(k)}\}$ and $F^{(k)} = \{f_1^{(k)}, \dots, f_n^{(k)}\}$. For each $1 \leq j \leq d$, $sp_j \in (p_j M p_j, \varphi(p_j)^{-1} \cdot \varphi)$ has finite χ^{sa} entropy and in this same way so does sp_{d+1} if $I \notin A$. Define

$$X_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d+1} \Gamma_2(sp_j; m_2, tr_k(\pi_k(p_j))k, \gamma_2) \subset \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d+1} p_j^{(k)} M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}) p_j^{(k)} \subset M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Write λ_k for the measure on $M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})$ given by Lebesgue measure on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d+1} p_i M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}) p_i$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_2$ norm restricted to this direct sum. Define $Y_k = \Gamma_2(s_1, \dots, s_n; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$ and write μ_k for Lebesgue measure on $(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^n$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_2$ norm on $(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^n$. Consider the probability measure σ_k on $((M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))_2)^{n+1}$ obtained by restricting $\lambda_k \times \mu_k$ to $X_k \times Y_k$ and normalizing appropriately. Lemma 2.14 of [9] provides an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $k \geq N$ and σ is any Radon probability measure on $((M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))_2)^{3n+l+1}$ invariant under the U_k -action

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{3n+l+1}) \mapsto (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n+l+1}, u\xi_{2n+l+2}u^*, \dots, u\xi_{3n+l+1}u^*)$$

then $\sigma(\omega_k) > \frac{1}{2}$ where ω_k is the subset of $((M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))_2)^{3n+l+1}$ consisting of those tuples such that the first $2n+l+1$ entries are (m_1, γ_1) -free from the last n . By the first paragraph if k is large enough for each $\xi \in X_k$, then there exists an l -tuple T such that $(\xi, T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}) \in \Gamma_2(s, B, E, F; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$. Define δ_ξ to be the atomic probability measure on $((M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))_2)^{2n+l+1}$ supported at $(\xi, T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)})$. Writing $\overline{\mu_k}$ for the normalization of μ_k we have that $\delta_\xi \times \overline{\mu_k}$ is a Radon probability measure on $((M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))_2)^{3n+l+1}$ invariant under the U_k -action described above so that $(\delta_\xi \times \overline{\mu_k})(\omega_k) > \frac{1}{2}$. Define Θ_k to be the set of all $(n+1)$ -tuples $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1})$ for which:

- $\xi_1 \in X_k$ and $(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) \in Y_k$.
- There is a T satisfying the two conditions that $(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, \xi_1) \in \Gamma_2(B, E, F, s; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$ and $(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) \in \omega_k$.

$\Theta_k \subset X_k \times Y_k$ is an open (and thus measurable) set. The fact that $(\delta_\xi \times \overline{\mu_k})(\omega_k) > 1/2$ for every $\xi \in X_k$ in conjunction with Fubini's Theorem tells us that $\sigma_k(\Theta_k) > \frac{1}{2}$.

On $V_k = (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d+1} p_j^{(k)} M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}) p_j^{(k)}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C})) \subset (M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+1}$ consider the real orthogonal projection Q_k on $(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+1}$ defined by

$$Q_k(\xi, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = (\xi, r_1(e_1^{(k)}\eta_1 f_1^{(k)} + f_1^{(k)}\eta_1 e_1^{(k)}), \dots, r_n(e_n^{(k)}\eta_n f_n^{(k)} + f_n^{(k)}\eta_n e_n^{(k)}))$$

where $r_i = 1/(3 - m_i)$. Denote $a_k = \dim V_k - \dim Q(V_k)$. If m_k is Lebesgue measure on $Q_k(V_k)$ obtained with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_2$ norm of $(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+1}$ restricted to $Q_k(V_k)$, then

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\lambda_k \times \mu_k)(X_k \times Y_k) < (\lambda_k \times \mu_k)(\Theta_k) \leq \kappa(a_k, \sqrt{2nk}) \cdot m_k(Q_k(\Theta_k)).$$

Thus, $m_k(Q_k(\Theta_k)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lambda_k(X_k) \lambda_k(Y_k) \cdot \kappa(a_k, \sqrt{2nk})^{-1}$. Define the linear map P_k from $(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+1}$ into $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} M_k(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$P_k(\xi, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = (\xi, e_1^{(k)}\eta_1 f_1^{(k)}, \dots, e_n^{(k)}\eta_n f_n^{(k)}).$$

P_k is the the composition of Q_k with a bi-Lipschitz map bounded from below by $1/2$ and above by 1 , this bi-Lipschitz map defined by

$$Q_k(\xi, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \mapsto (\xi, e_1^{(k)}\eta_1 f_1^{(k)}, \dots, e_n^{(k)}\eta_n f_n^{(k)}).$$

Consequently, if we endow the range of P_k with the inherited $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm the Lebesgue measure of Θ_k (with respect to this identification) is no less than

$$\frac{1}{2^{\dim P_k}} \cdot \lambda_k(X_k) \lambda_k(Y_k) \cdot \kappa(a_k, \sqrt{2nk})^{-1}.$$

Define Ω_k to be the set of all elements of the form $u(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y)u^*$ where $u = e^x$, $x \in \mathcal{X}_k^r$, $Y \in P_k(\Theta_k)$, and $(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y) \in \Gamma_2(B, E, F, s, G; m, k, \gamma)$. Clearly $\Omega_k \subset \Gamma_2(B, E, F, s, G; m, k, \gamma)$. Consider the map $\Phi : \Omega_k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_k^c \times P_k(\Theta_k)$ defined by

$$\Phi(u(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y)u^*) = (x, Y)$$

where $u = e^x$ for some $x \in \mathcal{X}_k^c$ and $Y \in P_k(H_k)$. This map is well-defined for suppose $u = e^x$, $v = e^{x'}$ for some $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}_k^c$, and $u(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y)u^* = v(T', E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y')v^*$ where

$$(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y), (T', E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y') \in \Gamma_2(B, E, F, s, G; m, k, \gamma).$$

$v^*u(E^{(k)}, F^{(k)})u^*v = (E^{(k)}, F^{(k)})$. By definition $v^*u \in H_k$ so that

$$0 = d_2(q(e^x), q(e^{x'})) \geq \lambda|x - x'|_2.$$

$x = x' \Rightarrow u = v \Rightarrow y = y'$. $(x, Y) = (x', Y')$ and thus, Φ is well-defined. Φ is also Lipschitz for suppose that $u = e^x$, $v = e^{x'}$ for $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}_k^c$, $Y, Y' \in L(\Theta_k)$, and there exist T, T' for which $(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y), (T', E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y') \in \Gamma_2(B, E, F, s, G; m, k, \gamma)$.

$$|\Phi(u(T, P^{(k)}, Q^{(k)}, Y)u^*) - \Phi(v(T', P^{(k)}, Q^{(k)}, Y')v^*)|_2 = |(x, Y) - (x', Y')|_2 \leq |x - x'|_2 + |Y - Y'|_2.$$

The analysis of Lemma 5.4 in [3] shows that there exist constants D and L dependent only on $A, e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n, z$, and p such that

$$|x - x'|_2 \leq \frac{DL}{\lambda} \cdot |u(P^{(k)}, Q^{(k)})u^* - v(P^{(k)}, Q^{(k)})v^*|_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|Y - Y'|_2 &\leq |uYu^* - vY'v^*|_2 + |vY'v^* - uY'v^*|_2 + |uY'v^* - uY'u^*|_2 \\
&\leq |uYu^* - vY'v^*|_2 + 4|e^x - e^{x'}|_2 \\
&\leq |uYu^* - vY'v^*|_2 + 4|x - x'|_2 \\
&\leq |uYu^* - vY'v^*|_2 + \frac{4DL\sqrt{n+1}}{\lambda} \cdot |u(P^{(k)}, Q^{(k)})u^* - v(P^{(k)}, Q^{(k)})v^*|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that $\|\Phi\|_{Lip} \leq C$ where $C = 6(DL\sqrt{n+1} + 1)\lambda^{-1}$. Finally, the range of Φ is exactly $\mathcal{X}_k^r \times P_k(\Theta_k)$. This follows from the way in which we defined Θ_k . For given $x \in \mathcal{X}_k^c$ and $Y \in P_k(\Theta_k)$ there exists some $(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) = Z \in \Theta_k$ for which $P_k(Z) = Y$. By definition of Θ_k we have $(z_2, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in \Gamma_2(s_1, \dots, s_n; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$, the existence of a T for which $(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, z_1) \in \Gamma_2(B, E, F, s; m_1, k, \gamma_1)$, and $(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Z) \in \omega_k$. By the first paragraph

$$(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, P_k(Z)) = (T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y) \in \Gamma_2(B, E, F, s, G; m, k, \gamma).$$

Consequently, $\Phi(e^x(T, E^{(k)}, F^{(k)}, Y)e^{-x}) = (x, Y)$ as desired.

The preceding paragraph shows that

$$C^{rk^2} \cdot H_{\epsilon}^{rk^2}(\Gamma_2(B, E, F, s, G; m, k, \gamma)) \geq H_{C\epsilon}^{rk^2}(\mathcal{X}_k^c \times P_k(\Theta_k))$$

So we just need to approximate the right hand side above and we will do so using by comparing volumes. Suppose $0 < \epsilon < C^{-1}$. Suppose $\langle \theta_j \rangle_{j \in J}$ is a countable $C\epsilon$ -cover of $\mathcal{X}_k^c \times P_k(\Theta_k)$. Without loss of generality we may assume the θ_j are closed. Regarding $\mathcal{X}_k^c \subset \mathcal{X}_k$, the third condition imposed on the π_k says

$$\frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{X}_k^1)}{\kappa(\dim \mathcal{X}_k, \sqrt{k})} > (\zeta)^{\dim \mathcal{X}_k}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{X}_k^c \times P_k(\Theta_k)$ is subset of $\mathcal{X}_k \times P_k(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+1}$ with Lebesgue volume (again computed when the ambient space is endowed with $\|\cdot\|_2$) no less than

$$(c\zeta)^{\dim \mathcal{X}_k} \cdot 2^{-\dim P_k} \cdot \lambda_k(X_k) \mu_k(Y_k) \cdot \frac{\kappa(\dim \mathcal{X}_k, \sqrt{k})}{\kappa(a_k, \sqrt{2nk})}.$$

Also observe that if b_k denotes the dimension of $\mathcal{X}_k \times P_k(M_k^{sa}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
b_k &= k^2 \left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \text{tr}_k(p_j^{(k)})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \text{tr}_k(p_j^{(k)})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \text{tr}_k(e_i^{(k)}) \text{tr}_k(f_i^{(k)}) \right] \\
&= k^2 \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \text{tr}_k(e_i^{(k)}) \text{tr}_k(f_i^{(k)}) \right] \\
&> k^2 (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i \beta_i - \delta) \\
&= (r - \delta) k^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the preceding volume estimates with the lower bound on b_k we have

$$\sum_{j \in J} |\theta_j|^{(r-\delta)k^2} \geq \sum_{j \in J} |\theta_j|^{b_k \cdot k^2} \geq (c\zeta)^{\dim \mathcal{X}_k} \cdot 2^{-\dim P_k} \cdot \lambda_k(X_k) \mu_k(Y_k) \cdot \frac{\kappa(\dim \mathcal{X}_k, \sqrt{k})}{\kappa(a_k, \sqrt{2nk}) \kappa(b_k, \sqrt{k})}$$

It now remains to compute the asymptotics of the right hands side. Suppose $0 < \epsilon < C^{-1}$ and m and γ are given. Regularity of a single semicircular, regularity of a free family of semicirculars, $\chi^{sa}(sp_j) > -\infty$, and Stirling's Formula imply that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2} \cdot \log H_\epsilon^{(r-\delta)k^2}(\Gamma_2(B, E, F, s, G; m, k, \gamma))$ dominates

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2} \cdot \log \left((c\zeta)^{\dim \mathcal{X}_k} \cdot 2^{-\dim P_k} \cdot \lambda(X_k) \cdot \mu_k(Y_k) \cdot \frac{\kappa(\dim \mathcal{X}_k, \sqrt{k})}{\kappa(a_k, \sqrt{2nk}) \kappa(b_k, \sqrt{k})} \cdot C^{-rk^2} \right) \\ & \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2} \log \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \kappa(\text{tr}_k(\pi_k(p_j))^2 k^2, \sqrt{k}) \cdot (\kappa(k^2, \sqrt{k})^n \cdot (\kappa(a_k, \sqrt{k})^{-1} \cdot (\kappa(b_k, \sqrt{k}))^{-1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (n+1) \log \left(\frac{c\zeta}{2C} \right) \right) \\ & > -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate hold for arbitrary m and γ and ϵ sufficiently small whence $\mathbb{H}^{r-\delta}(B, E, F, s, G) = \mathbb{H}^{r-\delta}(s, B, E, F, G) > -\infty$. Now in the general case this holds for all $\delta = t > 0$ and thus we have that $\mathbb{H}(s, B, E, F, G) \geq r$. If $\bigvee_{j=1}^d p_j < I$, then $\delta = 0$ and we arrive at $\mathbb{H}^r(s, B, E, F, G) > -\infty$. $\square$

Acknowledgements. I thank Dan Voiculescu, my advisor, who suggested this problem and an alternative interpretation of $\mathbb{H}^r$. Part of this research was conducted at Paris VII and I thank Georges Skandalis and the operator algebra team for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dykema, Ken *Interpolated free group factors*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 163 (1994), 123-135.
- [2] Jung, Kenley *The Free Entropy Dimension of Hyperfinite von Neumann algebras*, to appear in Transactions of the AMS.
- [3] Jung, Kenley *Fractal Dimensions and von Neumann algebras*, preprint.
- [4] Radulescu, Florin *Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors in free group factors of non-integer index*, Inventiones mathematicae 115 (1994), 347-389.
- [5] Szarek, S. *Metric Entropy of homogeneous spaces*, Quantum Probability, (Gdansk, 1997), Banach Center Publications v.43, Polish Academy of Science, Warsaw 1998, 395-410
- [6] Voiculescu, D. *Circular and semicircular systems and free products factors*, Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant Theory, Progress in Mathematics, vol.92, Birkhauser, 1990, pp.45-60.
- [7] Voiculescu, D. *The Analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, II*, Inventiones mathematicae 118, (1994), 411-440.
- [8] Voiculescu, D. *The Analogues of Entropy and of Fisher's Information Measure in Free Probability Theory III: The Absence of Cartan Subalgebras*, Geometric and Functional Analysis, Vol.6, No.1 (1996)(172-199).
- [9] Voiculescu, D. *A Strengthened Asymptotic Freeness Result for Random Matrices with Applications to Free Entropy*, IMRN, 1 (1998), 41-64.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840, USA
 E-mail address: factor@math.berkeley.edu